

**GWW Resident feedback on Bridge/Entry improvement project at F 1826 & Crystal Hills**

Number of attendees at the meetings (repeats not counted twice):

Sat 18 Aug: 26      Sun 19 Aug: 21

Total of lots represented all days:

33

Question

Responses

On a scale of 1 to five, how important is it to you to keep our century oaks near the Crystal Hills bridge? (Please check one):

(Very important to me) 1	18
2	6
3	6
4	2
(Not very important to me) 5	1
Total:	33

On a scale of 1 to five, how important is it to you to minimize the possibility of flooding at our bridge to the smallest possible percent (0.5%)? (*Please check one*)

(Very important to me) 1	10
2	5
3	2
4	5
(Not very important to me) 5	11
Total:	33

If the new bridge is higher than the bridge we are currently using, and enlarged so that, in any given year, there is a 4% chance of having it blocked by flooding, would this be acceptable to you? In other words, is the "4% bridge" acceptable to you? (*Currently, our bridge has a 50% chance of flooding sometime during each year. The safest possible design would have a 0.5% or less chance of flooding. With either new design, flood waters will recede more rapidly than with our current bridge.*)

Yes	27
No	6
Total:	33

Other feedback you'd like to provide to your Board of Trustees regarding the County's proposed improvements to our entry and bridge:

I would rather have the 1% bridge if they could move the road far enough south to only lose one tree on the south and save the two trees. The 25 year bridge would work but I do not think the county will go for it.

Thank you for all of the hard work and time that has been put into this project.

Get it completed ASAP! Want to have safest bridge to accommodate growth.

Preventing the death of another person at this creek crossing has to have the highest priority. Aesthetics & trees are a secondary concern to safety.

Thanks for the info.

Even the 25 yr (4%) bridge design is over-designed, given that the engineer was tasked with creating a 10 yr concept. Both designs presented are, in fact, 100 bridges, just with a 25 yr section of road nearby.

My property values, my quality of life, and my overall financial and personal interest in living in GWW depends greatly on the trees at the 1826 entrance and all the way up Crystal Hills and into the neighborhood. I am more than satisfied with the bridge safety but expect a heightened sensitivity to environmental factors from the POA.

I accept the 25 yr bridge.

I would prefer the 25% bridge but are concerned about narrowing the road with guardrails.

Let's not forget that it is only dangerous in any flood situation when people ignore warnings to drive through the water.

We prefer the 4% model. Thank you!

I am in favor of the 25 yr bridge. My primary concern was and is the safety of the road and approach to 1826; and the provision of the turn lane.

Thanks you for your hard work! Presentation was clear and useful!

The trees are nice, but should not absolutely dominate design, safety (incl road width) and cost. I "vote" for the 25 year option but trust the commissioner to make the decision based upon design, safety, and cost.

I tend to think that the 0.5% bridge is the better long-term solution but either option (0.5% or 4%) is clearly a huge improvement. I suspect that the design changes required for the 4% bridge will not ensure the survival of the trees.

I would like the 1% bridge. I think the beautification of the entrance is fair compensation for the tree loss. Thank you for all your hard work.

I hope we do all we can to save the large oak trees. A 25 year bridge is ok for me as it would help us save the trees.

I am concerned about the lack of input from property owners that do not live in the subdivision. I would also like to know the outcome of the survey.